Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

JOHN MAYHEW,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-04521-BLF

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S LETTER REQUEST FOR REDUCTION OF WITHHOLDING

[Re: ECF 26]

On November 6, 2014, Plaintiff submitted a letter asking the Court to limit the amount withheld from each of his benefits checks from \$50.00 to \$10.00. See ECF 26. The Court construed Plaintiff's letter as a request for an order directing an interim reduction of withholding pending disposition of this action, and directed Defendant to file a response on or before November 21, 2014. See ECF 27.

Defendant filed a timely response, asserting that the Court's intervention in the efforts of the Social Security Administration ("SSA") to recover overpayments would exceed the scope of authority conferred upon the Court by the Social Security Act ("the Act"). See 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), (h) (providing for review of *final* decisions of the Commissioner of Social Security). Moreover, Defendant states that the SSA currently is withholding only \$10 per month – the minimum permitted by regulation, see 20 C.F.R. § 404.502(c) – from Plaintiff's benefits. As evidence of the amount of withholding, Defendant submits a declaration of Sheri Horsburgh, a program analyst employed by the SSA, which states the following facts: Plaintiff receives disabled adult child's benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act and Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. Horsburgh Decl. ¶ 3. From October 2007 through March 2010, the SSA withheld \$50 per month from Plaintiff's Title II benefits to

Case 5:13-cv-04521-BLF Document 31 Filed 11/26/14 Page 2 of 2

); ;;	Northern District of California
----------	---------------------------------

recover an overpayment; in April 2010, pursuant to Plaintiff's request, the SSA reduced the withholding to \$10 per month; in February 2011, the SSA increased the withholding to \$79 per month; and in April 2011, pursuant to Plaintiff's request, the SSA again reduced the withholding to \$10 per month. *Id.* ¶¶ 4-9. From April 2011 through the present, the SSA has withheld \$10 per month from Plaintiff's Title II benefits. *Id.* ¶ 9. In January 2012, the SSA notified Plaintiff that it had deducted \$28 from a SSI underpayment and applied it to reduce the Title II overpayment. *Id.* ¶ 10.

Because the withholding of Plaintiff's Title II benefits already is set at the monthly minimum of \$10 per month (and has been for some time), Plaintiff's request for a reduction of withholding is DENIED AS MOOT. Given that disposition, the Court need not determine whether it would have the authority to direct an interim reduction of withholding in appropriate circumstances.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 26, 2014

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge